

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0112616 DATE: 7/12/2007	ARRIVE: 1:30pm DEPART: 2:00pm	
FACILITY NAME: MONARCH DODGE		
FACILITY LOCATION: 2000 North State Road 7		
LAUDERDALE LAKES	33313	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: MARK HODOS	PHONE: (954)484-2300	
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 1/16/2003 / 1/16/2008 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec	ck ☑ only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPL	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Ru (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	ıle 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) Yes No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?		
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANC	DEDITIDEMENTS Dula 62_210 200 F A C	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	E REQUIREMENTS - Ruie 02-210.500, r.A.C.	
 Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)		

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
cycles? 2) recycling cleaning solvents?	reducing VOC emissions by: ective application with a minimum of overspray? e coating?	
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment withou c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4 local program office?	t replacement? different than that noted on the most	
Elizabeth F. Susky	7/12/2007	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	7/12/2008	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: The facility has two automotive spray booths that are well maintained. The waste paint drum had a locking funnel, however it was open during the inspection and AQD staff informed one of the workers it should be closed when not being used.		
No other environmental concerns were noted and the records will be sent to the office via mail.		